UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/584,953	07/05/2006	Takahiro Urakabe	293178US2PCT	2446	
22850 ODI ON SDIV	22850 7590 08/23/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET			DUDA, RINA I		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		·	2837		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/23/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		TH	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
· 	10/584,953	URAKABE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Rina I. Duda	2837	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
•—	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 6-28 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11,16 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-15,17-20 and 22-28 is/are object to restriction and 21 is/are rejected.	Irawn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2006 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have beer	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	received.	
Attachment(s)	, .	O (DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	· -	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/3/06.		Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/584,953

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. Claims 11-20 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 6-10. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). The only difference between the sets of claims is the preamble and a slight difference and the details of the motor driving apparatus.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 26-28 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 26-28 do not add any limitation to the independent claims they depend from.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 11, 16, and 21 recites the limitation "said motor driving apparatus" in line
- 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,953 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6-10 are allowed.

- 6. Claims 22-25 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art made of record does not teach at least a motor driving apparatus making a frequency of an inverter carrier signal for driving said inverter be synchronized with a frequency of a DC/DC converter carrier signal for driving said Dc/Dc converter and also controls a phase difference between both carrier signals based on either an input voltage inputted to the Dc/DC converter or an input voltage inputted to said inverter. Furthermore, the prior art does not teach at least a power converting apparatus comprising a motor driving apparatus making a frequency of an inverter carrier signal for driving said inverter be synchronized with a frequency of a DC/DC converter carrier signal for driving said Dc/Dc converter and also controls a phase difference between both carrier signals based on a ratio of an input voltage inputted to said Dc/Dc converter an input voltage inputted to said inverter. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rina I. Duda whose telephone number is 571-272-2062.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,953

Art Unit: 2837

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on 571-272-1988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RD

PRIMARY EXAMINER